

THE CLIQUE COMPLEX AND HYPERGRAPH MATCHING

ROY MESHULAM*

Received June 14, 1999

The *width* of a hypergraph \mathcal{F} is the minimal $t = w(\mathcal{F})$ for which there exist $F_1, \dots, F_t \in \mathcal{F}$ such that for any $F \in \mathcal{F}$, $F_i \cap F \neq \emptyset$ for some $1 \leq i \leq t$. The *matching width* of \mathcal{F} is the minimal $t = mw(\mathcal{F})$ such that for any matching $\mathcal{M} \subset \mathcal{F}$ there exist $F_1, \dots, F_t \in \mathcal{F}$ such that for any $F \in \mathcal{M}$, $F_i \cap F \neq \emptyset$ for some $1 \leq i \leq t$. The following extension of the Aharoni-Haxell matching Theorem [3] is proved:

Let $\{\mathcal{F}_i\}_{i=1}^m$ be a family of hypergraphs such that for each $\emptyset \neq I \subset [m]$ either $mw(\cup_{i \in I} \mathcal{F}_i) \geq |I|$ or $w(\cup_{i \in I} \mathcal{F}_i) \geq 2|I| - 1$, then there exists a matching F_1, \dots, F_m such that $F_i \in \mathcal{F}_i$ for all $1 \leq i \leq m$.

This is a consequence of a more general result on colored cliques in graphs. The proofs are topological and use the [Nerve Theorem](#).

1. Introduction

Let $\mathcal{F} \subset 2^X$ be a hypergraph on a finite ground set X . The *width* of \mathcal{F} is the minimal $t = w(\mathcal{F})$ for which there exist $F_1, \dots, F_t \in \mathcal{F}$ such that for any $F \in \mathcal{F}$, $F_i \cap F \neq \emptyset$ for some $1 \leq i \leq t$.

A *matching* in \mathcal{F} is a subhypergraph $\mathcal{M} \subset \mathcal{F}$ such that $F \cap F' = \emptyset$ for all $F \neq F' \in \mathcal{M}$. The *matching width* of \mathcal{F} is the minimal $t = mw(\mathcal{F})$ such that for any matching $\mathcal{M} \subset \mathcal{F}$ there exist $F_1, \dots, F_t \in \mathcal{F}$ such that for any $F \in \mathcal{M}$, $F_i \cap F \neq \emptyset$ for some $1 \leq i \leq t$.

Let $\{\mathcal{F}_i\}_{i=1}^m$ be a family of hypergraphs. A *system of disjoint representatives (SDR)* of $\{\mathcal{F}_i\}_{i=1}^m$ is a matching F_1, \dots, F_m such that $F_i \in \mathcal{F}_i$ for

Mathematics Subject Classification (1991): 05D05, 05D15, 05E25

* Supported by the Israel Science Foundation.

$1 \leq i \leq m$. Aharoni and Haxell [3] have recently proved the following remarkable extension of Hall's matching theorem:

Theorem 1.1. *If $\{\mathcal{F}_i\}_{i=1}^m$ satisfies $mw(\cup_{i \in I} \mathcal{F}_i) \geq |I|$ for all $I \subset [m]$, then $\{\mathcal{F}_i\}_{i=1}^m$ has an SDR.*

Another closely related result of Aharoni and Haxell [4] is the following

Theorem 1.2. *If $\{\mathcal{F}_i\}_{i=1}^m$ satisfies $w(\cup_{i \in I} \mathcal{F}_i) \geq 2|I| - 1$ for all $I \subset [m]$, then $\{\mathcal{F}_i\}_{i=1}^m$ has an SDR.*

A common generalization of theorems 1.1 and 1.2 was obtained by Aharoni and Chudnovsky [2]:

Theorem 1.3. *Let $1 \leq d \leq m$. Suppose $\{\mathcal{F}_i\}_{i=1}^m$ satisfies $w(\cup_{i \in I} \mathcal{F}_i) \geq 2|I| - 1$ if $|I| \leq d$, and $mw(\cup_{i \in I} \mathcal{F}_i) \geq |I|$ if $|I| > d$. Then $\{\mathcal{F}_i\}_{i=1}^m$ has an SDR.*

Theorems 1.1 and 1.3 are proved using the Sperner Lemma and rely on the existence of special triangulations of the simplex. Aharoni [1] noted that the method of [3] shows that if for any $\emptyset \neq I \subset [m]$ the matching complex of $\cup_{i \in I} \mathcal{F}_i$ is $(|I| - 2)$ -connected then $\{\mathcal{F}_i\}_{i=1}^m$ has an SDR.

In this note we use another topological approach to prove the following extension of Theorem 1.3 conjectured in [2].

Theorem 1.4. *Let $\{\mathcal{F}_i\}_{i=1}^m$ be a family of hypergraphs such that for each $\emptyset \neq I \subset [m]$ either $mw(\cup_{i \in I} \mathcal{F}_i) \geq |I|$ or $w(\cup_{i \in I} \mathcal{F}_i) \geq 2|I| - 1$, then $\{\mathcal{F}_i\}_{i=1}^m$ has an SDR.*

Theorem 1.4 is a special case of a result on colored cliques in graphs. Let $G = (V, E)$ be a simple graph. Let $\Gamma(v)$ denote the set of neighbors of a vertex $v \in V$, and $G[A]$ the induced graph on a subset of vertices $A \subset V$. The join of two simplicial complexes K and L is denoted by $K * L$. For $0 \leq i \leq t$ let K_i consist of two isolated points a_i and a'_i , then $S^t = K_0 * \dots * K_t$ is the octahedral t -dimensional sphere. The 1-dimensional skeleton of S^t is denoted by $(S^t)^{(1)}$.

A graph $G = (V, E)$ has property $P(l, t)$ if there exists an $A \subset V$ such that:

- (i) $\cap_{i=1}^l \Gamma(v_i) \cap A \neq \emptyset$ for any $v_1, \dots, v_l \in V$.
- (ii) $G[A]$ does not contain $(S^t)^{(1)}$ as an induced subgraph.

We shall also say that G satisfies $P(l, t)$ with respect to A .

Remarks.

- (a) We note two choices of parameters which will be relevant to Theorem 1.4: If G satisfies $P(l, 0)$ with respect to A , then A does not contain an induced S^0 i.e. A is a clique. On the other hand, G satisfies property $P(l, \infty)$ iff $\cap_{i=1}^l \Gamma(v_i) \neq \emptyset$ for any $v_1, \dots, v_l \in V$.

(b) Let $\mathcal{F} \subset 2^X$ be a hypergraph, possibly with multiple edges. The *disjointness graph* $G_{\mathcal{F}} = (V, E)$ associated with \mathcal{F} has vertex set $V = \mathcal{F}$ and $\{F, F'\} \subset \mathcal{F}$ forms an edge if $F \cap F' = \emptyset$. Since a matching in \mathcal{F} corresponds to a clique in $G_{\mathcal{F}}$ it follows that $mw(\mathcal{F}) > r$ iff $G_{\mathcal{F}}$ satisfies $P(r, 0)$. Similarly $w(\mathcal{F}) > r$ iff $G_{\mathcal{F}}$ satisfies $P(r, \infty)$.

Let $V = \cup_{i=1}^m V_i$ be a partition of the vertices of a graph G into non-empty sets. A clique $\sigma \subset V$ is *colored* if $\sigma \cap V_i \neq \emptyset$ for all $1 \leq i \leq m$. For integers $l \geq 1$ and $t \geq 0$ let $\kappa(l, t) = \max\{l - t, \lfloor \frac{l}{2} \rfloor\}$. Our main result is a sufficient condition for the existence of colored cliques in G :

Theorem 1.5. *If for any $\emptyset \neq I \subset [m]$ there exist l_I, t_I such that $G[\cup_{i \in I} V_i]$ satisfies $P(l_I, t_I)$ and $\kappa(l_I, t_I) \geq |I| - 1$ then G contains a colored clique.*

Theorem 1.5 \Rightarrow **Theorem 1.4.** Let \mathcal{F} denote the disjoint union of the \mathcal{F}_i 's, and let $G_{\mathcal{F}} = (V, E)$ with the partition $V = \cup_{i=1}^m V_i$ where $V_i = \mathcal{F}_i$. If $\emptyset \neq I \subset [m]$ then either $mw(\cup_{i \in I} \mathcal{F}_i) \geq |I|$ or $w(\cup_{i \in I} \mathcal{F}_i) \geq 2|I| - 1$. It follows from the remarks above that $G[\cup_{i \in I} V_i]$ satisfies $P(l, t)$ where (l, t) is either $(|I| - 1, 0)$ or $(2|I| - 2, \infty)$. Since $\kappa(l, t) = |I| - 1$ in either case, it follows by Theorem 1.5 that G contains a colored clique. Therefore $\{\mathcal{F}_i\}_{i=1}^m$ has an SDR. \blacksquare

The proof of Theorem 1.5 is topological and uses the clique complex of a graph. In Section 2 we discuss a version of the Nerve Theorem which is our main technical tool. In Section 3 we relate property $P(l, t)$ to the homology of the clique complex. The proof of Theorem 1.5 is completed in Section 4.

2. The Nerve Theorem

For a finite simplicial complex X , let $H_j(X)$ ($\tilde{H}_j(X)$) denote the (reduced) j -th simplicial homology of X with coefficients in some fixed field \mathbb{F} . The j -dimensional skeleton of X is denoted by $X^{(j)}$. Let $\mathcal{U} = \{U_i\}_{i \in I}$ be a finite family of subcomplexes of X such that $\cup_{i \in I} U_i = X$. For $\sigma \subset I$ let $U_{\sigma} = \cap_{i \in \sigma} U_i$. The *nerve* of \mathcal{U} is the simplicial complex $N = N(\mathcal{U})$ on the vertex set I whose simplices are all $\sigma \subset I$ such that $U_{\sigma} \neq \emptyset$. The basic form of Leray's Nerve Theorem asserts that if U_{σ} is contractible for any $\sigma \in N$ then X is homotopy equivalent to N (see also [6]). For more refined versions and their combinatorial applications see Björner's survey [5]. We shall need the following homology variant of the Nerve Theorem. For completeness we include a short proof based on a standard application of the Leray spectral sequence (see e.g. [7]).

Theorem 2.1. *If $\tilde{H}_j(U_{\sigma}) = 0$ for all $\sigma \in N^{(k)}$ and $0 \leq j \leq k - \dim \sigma$, then*

- (i) $\tilde{H}_j(X) \cong \tilde{H}_j(N)$ for $0 \leq j \leq k$.
- (ii) If $H_{k+1}(N) \neq 0$ then $H_{k+1}(X) \neq 0$.

Proof. Let $\{E_r\}$ denote the cohomology spectral sequence of the cover \mathcal{U} and let $C^*(N)$ be the cochain complex of the nerve N . Let $\varphi_p : C^p(N) \rightarrow \bigoplus_{\sigma \in N^{(p)}} H^0(U_\sigma) = E_1^{p,0}$ denote the natural diagonal injection. The assumption $\tilde{H}^0(U_\sigma) = 0$ whenever $\dim \sigma \leq k$ implies that φ_p is an isomorphism for $0 \leq p \leq k$. It follows that $E_2^{p,0} \cong H^p(N)$ for $0 \leq p \leq k$. Since $E_1^{p,q} = \bigoplus_{\sigma \in N^{(p)}} H^q(U_\sigma) = 0$ for $q \geq 1$ and $p + q \leq k$ we conclude that $H^p(X) \cong E_2^{p,0} \cong H^p(N)$ for $0 \leq p \leq k$ and $E_\infty^{k+1,0} = E_2^{k+1,0}$.

To prove (ii) note that since φ_k is an isomorphism, it follows that $\varphi_{k+1}^* : H^{k+1}(N) \rightarrow E_2^{k+1,0}$ is injective. Therefore $H^{k+1}(N) \neq 0$ implies $E_\infty^{k+1,0} = E_2^{k+1,0} \neq 0$ and so $H^{k+1}(X) \neq 0$. ■

3. Homology of the Clique Complex

The *Clique Complex* of a graph $G = (V, E)$ is the simplicial complex $X(G)$ on the vertex set V whose simplices are all cliques $\sigma \subset V$.

Proposition 3.1. *Let $l \geq 1$ and $t \geq 0$. If G satisfies $P(l, t)$ then $\tilde{H}_j(X(G)) = 0$ for $0 \leq j \leq \kappa(l, t) - 1$.*

Proof. For $v \in V$ let $\Gamma'(v) = \Gamma(v) \cup \{v\}$ and $U_v = X(G[\Gamma'(v)])$. Suppose G satisfies $P(l, t)$ with respect to $A \subset V$ and let $Z = \bigcup_{v \in A} U_v \subset X(G)$. If $\tau = \{u_0, \dots, u_r\} \in X(G)$ and $r \leq l - 1$ then there exists a $v \in \bigcap_{i=0}^r \Gamma(u_i) \cap A$, hence $\tau \subset U_v$. It follows that $Z \supset X(G)^{(l-1)} \supset X(G)^{(\kappa(l, t)-1)}$. It therefore suffices to show that $\tilde{H}_j(Z) = 0$ for $0 \leq j \leq \kappa(l, t) - 1$.

Let N denote the nerve of the cover $\{U_v\}_{v \in A}$ of Z . Note that if $\sigma = \{v_0, \dots, v_p\} \subset A$ forms a clique in G then any clique τ in $G[\bigcap_{i=0}^p \Gamma'(v_i)] = G'$ is contained in the clique $\sigma \cup \tau \subset G'$, hence $U_\sigma = X(G')$ is a cone over σ and is therefore contractible.

Since G does not contain an induced $(S^t)^{(1)}$ for $t \geq |V|/2$ it suffices to prove the proposition for finite t . We apply induction on t . If $t = 0$ then A is a clique, hence by the preceding remark U_σ is non-empty and contractible for any $\sigma \subset A$. It follows that N is the simplex on A hence by [Theorem 2.1](#) $\tilde{H}_*(Z) \cong \tilde{H}_*(N) = 0$.

Suppose $t \geq 1$. Then $\kappa(l, t) \leq l - 1$. Hence if $0 \leq p \leq \kappa(l, t)$ and $\sigma = \{v_0, \dots, v_p\} \subset A$ then $\bigcap_{i=0}^p \Gamma'(v_i) \cap A \neq \emptyset$. It follows that $U_\sigma = X(G[\bigcap_{i=0}^p \Gamma'(v_i)]) \neq \emptyset$, therefore N contains the $\kappa(l, t)$ -th skeleton of the simplex on A and so $\tilde{H}_j(N) = 0$ for $0 \leq j \leq \kappa(l, t) - 1$.

In order to show $\tilde{H}_j(Z) = 0$ for $0 \leq j \leq \kappa(l, t) - 1$, it therefore suffices, by [Theorem 2.1](#), to verify that the cover $\{U_v\}_{v \in A}$ of Z satisfies $\tilde{H}_j(U_\sigma) = 0$ for any $0 \leq p \leq \kappa(l, t) - 1$, $\sigma = \{v_0, \dots, v_p\} \subset A$ and $0 \leq j \leq \kappa(l, t) - 1 - p$.

If σ is a clique then U_σ is contractible and we are done. Otherwise $p \geq 1$ and there exist two vertices, say v_0 and v_1 , such that $\{v_0, v_1\} \notin E$. Let $V' = \cap_{i=0}^p \Gamma'(v_i)$, $G' = G[V']$ and $A' = A \cap V'$. Clearly $G[A']$ does not contain an induced $(S^{t-1})^{(1)}$, and for any $l-p-1$ vertices $u_1, \dots, u_{l-p-1} \in V'$, $\cap_{j=1}^{l-p-1} \Gamma(u_j) \cap A' \supset \cap_{j=1}^{l-p-1} \Gamma(u_j) \cap \cap_{i=0}^p \Gamma(v_i) \cap A \neq \emptyset$. It follows that G' satisfies $P(l-p-1, t-1)$ with respect to A' . Therefore by induction $\tilde{H}_j(U_\sigma) = \tilde{H}_j(X(G')) = 0$ for $0 \leq j \leq \kappa(l-p-1, t-1) - 1$. Now $\kappa(l-p-1, t-1) = \max\{l-t-p, \lfloor \frac{l-p-1}{2} \rfloor\} \geq \kappa(l, t) - p$, hence $\tilde{H}_j(U_\sigma) = 0$ for $0 \leq j \leq \kappa(l, t) - 1 - p$. ■

Remarks.

a) The following examples show that [Proposition 3.1](#) is in a sense sharp. Let $0 \leq t \leq k$ and let $V = \{a_i, a'_i\}_{i=0}^{k-1} \cup \{b_i, b'_i\}_{i=0}^{t-1}$. For $0 \leq i \leq t-1$ let K_i denote the (1-dimensional) simplicial complex consisting of the two edges $\{a_i, b_i\}$ and $\{a'_i, b'_i\}$. For $t \leq i \leq k-1$ let K_i consist of the two isolated points a_i and a'_i . Consider the join $K = K_0 * \dots * K_{k-1}$ and let $G = (V, E) = K^{(1)}$ be its 1-dimensional skeleton. Let $A = \{a_i, a'_i, b_i, b'_i\}_{i=0}^{t-1} \cup \{a_i\}_{i=t}^{k-1}$.

Claim 3.2. G satisfies $P(k+t-1, t)$ with respect to A .

Proof. We first show that if $C \subset V$ with $|C| = k+t-1$, then $\cap_{c \in C} \Gamma(c) \cap A \neq \emptyset$. Indeed, if $|C \cap \{a_i, a'_i, b_i, b'_i\}| \leq 1$ for some $0 \leq i \leq t-1$, e.g. $C \cap \{a_i, a'_i, b_i\} = \emptyset$, then $a'_i \in \cap_{c \in C} \Gamma(c) \cap A$. Otherwise $|C \cap \{a_i, a'_i, b_i, b'_i\}| \geq 2$ for all $0 \leq i \leq t-1$ hence $|C \cap \{a_i, a'_i\}_{i=t}^{k-1}| \leq |C| - 2t = k - t - 1$. It follows that $C \cap \{a_i, a'_i\} = \emptyset$ for some $t \leq i \leq k-1$, hence $a_i \in \cap_{c \in C} \Gamma(c) \cap A$.

We next show that $G[A]$ does not contain an induced octahedral t -sphere. Assume to the contrary that $C \subset A$ satisfies $|C| = 2t+2$ and $G[C] \cong (S^t)^{(1)}$. Let $C_0 = C \cap (\{a_i, b_i\}_{i=0}^{t-1} \cup \{a_i\}_{i=t}^{k-1})$ and $C_1 = C \cap (\{a'_i, b'_i\}_{i=0}^{t-1} \cup \{a_i\}_{i=t}^{k-1})$. Both C_0 and C_1 are cliques in $G[C]$, hence $|C_0|, |C_1| \leq t+1$. Since $2t+2 = |C| = |C_0 \cup C_1|$ it follows that $|C_0| = |C_1| = t+1$, $C_0 \cap C_1 = \emptyset$ and $C \cap \{a_i\}_{i=t}^{k-1} = \emptyset$. Therefore there exists an $0 \leq i \leq t-1$ such that $C_0 \supset \{a_i, b_i\}$. But this is a contradiction since an octahedral sphere does not contain an edge $\{u, v\}$ with $\Gamma'(u) = \Gamma'(v)$. ■

Now $X(G) = K$ is homotopic to S^{k-1} hence $\tilde{H}_{k-1}(X(G)) \neq 0$. It follows that the assumption $P(k+t, t)$ in [Proposition 3.1](#) cannot be replaced by $P(k+t-1, t)$ when $0 \leq t \leq k$.

b) The following result can be proved along the lines of [Proposition 3.1](#) by applying a relative version of the [Nerve Theorem](#).

Proposition 3.3. *If G satisfies $P(2k-1, \infty)$ with respect to $A \subset V$ then $H_j(X(G), X(G[A])) = 0$ for $0 \leq j \leq k-1$. In particular $H_{k-1}(X(G[A])) = 0$ implies $\tilde{H}_j(X(G)) = 0$ for $0 \leq j \leq k-1$. ■*

4. Colored cliques

Proof of Theorem 1.5. By assumption $G = G[\cup_{i=1}^m V_i]$ satisfies $P(l, t)$ for some pair (l, t) such that $\kappa(l, t) \geq m-1$. Hence $H_{m-2}(X(G)) = 0$ by Proposition 3.1. For $1 \leq i \leq m$ let $W_i = \cup_{j \neq i} V_j$ and $Y_i = X(G[W_i])$. If G contains no clique v_1, \dots, v_m with $v_i \in V_i$ then $X(G) = \cup_{i=1}^m Y_i$. Let $0 \leq p \leq m-2$, then for any $\sigma = \{i_0, \dots, i_p\} \subset [m]$ there exists a pair (l, t) (depending on σ) such that $G[\cup_{j \notin \sigma} V_j]$ satisfies $P(l, t)$ and $\kappa(l, t) \geq m-p-2$. Applying Proposition 3.1 it follows that $Y_\sigma = \cap_{i \in \sigma} Y_i = X(G[\cup_{j \notin \sigma} V_j])$ satisfies $\tilde{H}_j(Y_\sigma) = 0$ for $0 \leq j \leq m-3-\dim \sigma$. The cover $\mathcal{Y} = \{Y_i\}_{i=1}^m$ of $X(G)$ therefore meets the conditions of Theorem 2.1 with $k=m-3$. Since $H_{m-2}(X) = 0$ it follows by 2.1(ii) that $H_{m-2}(N(\mathcal{Y})) = 0$. But $N(\mathcal{Y})$ is clearly the $(m-2)$ -skeleton of the $(m-1)$ -simplex on the vertex set $[m]$, hence $H_{m-2}(N(\mathcal{Y})) \cong \mathbb{F}$, a contradiction. ■

References

- [1] R. AHARONI: Private communication.
- [2] R. AHARONI and M. CHUDNOVSKY: Special triangulations of the simplex and systems of disjoint representatives, submitted.
- [3] R. AHARONI and P. HAXELL: Hall's Theorem for hypergraphs, *J. Graph Theory*, **35** (2000), 83–88.
- [4] R. AHARONI and P. HAXELL: Systems of disjoint representatives, preprint.
- [5] A. BJÖRNER: Topological methods, in: *Handbook of Combinatorics* (R. Graham, M. Grötschel, and L. Lovász, Eds.), 1819–1872, North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1995.
- [6] J. FOLKMAN: The homology groups of a lattice, *J. Math. Mech.*, **15** (1966), 631–636.
- [7] P. J. HILTON and S. WYLIE: *Homology Theory: An Introduction to Algebraic Topology*, Cambridge University Press, New York, 1960.

Roy Meshulam

*Department of Mathematics,
Technion, Haifa 32000, Israel*

meshulam@math.technion.ac.il